mcmillan

TOP FIVE WORKPLACE PRIVACY ISSUES

IAPP Canada Privacy Symposium 2013 May 24, 2013

Lyndsay A. Wasser Partner, Employment and Labour Relations Group McMillan LLP

TOP FIVE WORKPLACE PRIVACY ISSUES

- 1. Do employees have privacy rights?
- 2. Can employers conduct background checks?
- 3. Can employers monitor employees?
- 4. Can employers share employee information with foreign affiliates?
- 5. Are employees entitled to access employers' records?

LEGISLATION - FEDERAL

Personal Information Protection and Electronic Documents Act ("PIPEDA")

- Federally-regulated employers
- •For example:
 - Banks
 - Air transportation and aerodromes
 - Shipping
 - Railways
 - Broadcasters



LEGISLATION - PROVINCIAL

- Alberta Personal Information Protection Act
- B.C. Personal Information Protection Act
- Quebec An Act respecting the protection of personal information in the private sector
 - Civil Code
 - Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms

LEGISLATION – ALBERTA & B.C.

Employee Personal Information:

- Notice (not consent)
- Information relates to employment relationship
- Reasonable for the purposes of establishing, managing or terminating the employment relationship



RELEVANCE OF LEGISLATION TO ONTARIO EMPLOYERS

- Contacting federal, B.C., Alberta and Quebec employers for references
- Independent contractors and consultants
- Third party service providers



STATUTORY TORTS

- B.C., Manitoba, Newfoundland and Saskatchewan
- It is a tort that is actionable without proof of damages for a person to willfully and without claim of right violate the privacy of an individual
- Consent is a defence

PERSONAL HEALTH INFORMATION

- All provinces except Quebec and Prince Edward Island
- Ontario Personal Health Information Protection Act, 2004
 - Information obtained from health information custodians
 - In-house doctors/nurses
 - Health numbers and health cards



Criminal Code:

- Using a device willfully to intercept a private communication without the express or implied consent of the originators or intended recipient
- To intercept fraudulently and without colour of right any function of a computer system

DO EMPLOYEES HAVE PRIVACY RIGHTS? PUBLIC SECTOR ORGANIZATIONS

- E.g., Ontario Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act
 - Hospitals, educational institutions, etc.



COMMON LAW

- Arbitration cases (unionized)
 - Most arbitrators recognize privacy rights
 - Consider collective agreement
- Court cases (non-union)



COMMON LAW

Tsige v. Jones:

- Employee accessed co-worker's bank records 174 times over four years
- Dismissed by lower court
- Court of Appeal created new tort



COMMON LAW

Intrusion upon Seclusion:

- Intentionally intrudes upon the seclusion of another or his/her private affairs
- If the invasion would be highly offensive to a reasonable person
- Limits on damages



COMMON LAW

- Workplace privacy cases after Tsige v. Jones:
 - Complex Services Inc. v. OPSEU, Local
 278
 - Hamilton International Airport Ltd. v.
 C.U.P.E. (Local 5167)

- Background checks include criminal, driving, credit, reference, education, social media, etc.
- Legislative requirements:
- Consent (Federal and Quebec)
- Notice (Alberta and B.C.)
- Only perform checks that are "reasonable"



CAW-Canada, Local 2098 v. Diageo Canada Inc.

- Criminal checks on employees bidding into safety-sensitive positions
- Arbitrator found policy reasonable
- Policies of unionized employers may be scrutinized



Somwar v. McDonalds' Restaurants of Canada Ltd.

- Credit check by employer without notice or consent
- Action by employee for invasion of privacy



Somwar v. McDonalds' Restaurants of Canada Ltd. (cont'd)

- It is not settled law in Ontario that there is no tort of invasion of privacy
- The time has come to recognize invasion of privacy as a tort in its own right





- Consider laws applicable to disclosing organization
 - Educational institutions
 - Police checks
 - Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act



- Social Media Checks:
- Consider applicable laws:
 - Information collected is "personal information"
 - "Publicly available" information is narrowly defined



- Social Media Checks (cont'd):
- Risks:
 - Collecting irrelevant information
 - Collecting information of third parties without consent
 - Inaccurate/outdated information
 - Collecting information about protected characteristics under human rights laws



- Social Media Checks (cont'd):
- Reducing Risks:
 - Obtain consent/provide notice
 - Limit checks
 - Train personnel
 - Document reasons for decisions
 - Retain information (securely)



- Different tests in different jurisdictions
- General factors:
- Necessary?
- Reasonable? Effective?
- Less invasive alternatives?





Video Monitoring:

- Reasons for surveillance?
- Security vs. performance monitoring
- Beware of "function creep"
- Consider alternatives
- Provide notice to employees





Colwell v. Cornerstone Properties Inc.:

- Secret camera installed in office ceiling
- No credible explanation
- Employee felt "violated"
- Poisoned work environment
- Constructive dismissal

Internet and Email Monitoring:

- Generally more latitude
- •Reasons for surveillance?
- •Clear policies?





R. v. Cole:

- Cole had a reasonable expectation of privacy in laptop owned by employer and issued for employment purposes
- Policies may reduce, but do not eliminate, expectation of privacy
- Charter case





Claude Poliquin v. Devon Canada Corporation:

- Clear policies prohibiting pornography
- Supervisor forwarded pornography to clients, suppliers and other employees
- Termination for cause upheld





Claude Poliquin v. Devon Canada Corporation (cont'd):

- Negative impact on employer
- •Employers are entitled to prohibit use of equipment and systems for improper purposes and to monitor employees' use of equipment to ensure compliance

GPS Tracking:

- Purpose for tracking?
- PIPEDA Case Summary #351
 - Purposes manage productivity, safety, asset protection and employee management
 - Limits on tracking for employee management purposes



GPS Tracking (cont'd):



- Tracking service vehicles using GPS and engine monitoring technology
- Purposes included performance monitoring and safety
- Collection and use was reasonable



CAN EMPLOYERS SHARE EMPLOYEE INFORMATION WITH FOREIGN AFFILIATES?

- Transfer to affiliate for processing
- Data protection agreement
- Consistent policies
- Disclosure for other purposes
 - Consent
 - Notice



CAN EMPLOYERS SHARE EMPLOYEE INFORMATION WITH FOREIGN AFFILIATES?



- Principles from PIPEDA cases:
 - Transfer across borders is not prohibited
 - Cannot prevent foreign authorities from accessing information stored in foreign jurisdictions
 - Consent is not required if transfer is consistent with original purposes

CAN EMPLOYERS SHARE EMPLOYEE INFORMATION WITH FOREIGN AFFILIATES?

- Alberta Requirements
- Policies respecting foreign service providers
- Notification requirements
- Quebec Restrictions

ARE EMPLOYEES ENTITLED TO ACCESS EMPLOYERS' RECORDS?

Broad access rights under statutes:

- Personnel files
- Emails, memos, etc.
- Meeting notes
- Phone logs and recordings
- Contracts
- Statistics



ARE EMPLOYEES ENTITLED TO ACCESS EMPLOYERS' RECORDS?

Exceptions include:

- Personal information of third party
- Investigating breach of employment agreement
- Other specific exceptions in each statute



ARE EMPLOYEES ENTITLED TO ACCESS EMPLOYERS' RECORDS?

Access rights under:

- Collective agreements
- Employer policies
- Common law





QUESTIONS?

Lyndsay A. Wasser
Partner
Employment and Labour
Relations Group, Toronto
416.865.7083
lyndsay.wasser@mcmillan.ca